Cellphone use while driving

A BEHAVIORAL ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE

Eskil Johnsrud Sæterlien Nordisk Trafikksikkerhedsforum September 2019



PHOTO: <u>WWW.GODTFORBEREDT.NO</u>

Have you ever been driving a car, received a text message and decided that you will wait to read it until you have arrived at your destination? Suddenly you sit there with your cellphone in your hand even if you told yourself that you should resist and not open it this time.

Or have you ever been driving a car catching yourself not able to remember the last few kilometers you have passed because you were texting?

Behavioral economy = economy + psychology

→ A method for studying behavioral choices with the aim of establishing the degree of impulsiveness and to assess the rate at which the value of one choice decreases relatively to other choices (Atchley & Warden. 2012).

Explains why we do things we should not do and why we don't do things we should do



Foto: www.marshmallow.no/atferdsokonomi/

A behavioral perspective on cellphone use while driving

From a behavioral economic perspective, TWD involves a <u>trade-off between immediate and delayed</u> <u>outcomes</u>, and it is showed behaviorally as a preference for smaller immediate rewards over larger delayed rewards.

In other words, choosing a short text message while driving over a longer conversation sometime later when not driving (Hayashi et al. 2015).



https://www.npr.org/

4

A behavioral perspective on cellphone use while driving

When a person selects the smaller immediate payoff over the later and larger benefits, we may say that the person (e.g., driver) shows <u>impulsive behavior</u>. Oppositely, a person who chooses the delayed larger reward and thereby resists the smaller immediate reward (e.g., text now and not wait until destination) is said to show <u>self-control</u> (Pierce & Cheney, 2013).

Delay discounting can be defined as the tendency to devalue temporally distant rewards or punishments, even though they may greatly outbalance the immediate benefit of our choices (Madden & Bickel, 2010).

= Answering the phone while driving instead of later

Cellphone use while driving

Sending and receiving a text message removes the driver's eyes from the road for an average of 4.6 seconds (NHTSA).

40 km/t = 11,1 M/s \rightarrow 51 meter 60 km/t = 16,6 m/s \rightarrow 76 meter 80 km/t = 22.2 m/s \rightarrow 102 meter

When a driver glances longer than 1 second away from the road, the risk of an accident increases remarkably.

2 seconds and more, the driver has a 5.5 fold increased risk of a crash.



PHOTO: HTTPS://WWW.MYLEGALNEEDS.COM









PHOTO: <u>HTTP://ACLAWFL.COM/TEXTING-WHILE-DRIVING/</u> <u>HTTPS://PATCH.COM</u> <u>HTTP://WWW.AUTOSPIES.COM</u> Cellphone use while driving - g behavioral economic perspective

Master`s thesis

Survey: 189 respondents

Demography

- Gender
- Age
- Years of driving
- Education

Frequency: How many days (during past 30 days) they had:

- 1. Initiated
- 2. Read
- 3. Replied
- to a text message

Driving behavior

- Frequency seat belt as (1) driver and (2) passenger.
- The quality of their driving
- Most likely to (1) call and (2) text while driving

Perceived risk

To what degree certain distracted driving behaviors is associated with risk:

- Texting
- Talking
- Social media
- eating/drinkingreading news online
- adjusting radio/CD



8

Cellphone Use While Driving - a Behavioral Economic Perspective

Eskil Johnsrud Sæterlien

Faculty of Health Sciences Department of Behavioral Science

OsloMet - Oslo Metropolitan University

Master's thesis

Delay Discounting Text Task (DDTT)

Aim: capture the impact of how the information received affects probability of CUWD.

Participants rated likelihood of waiting across 6 delays:

- 30 sec
- 3 min
- 15 min
- 30 min
- 1 hour
- 2 hours

2 conditions:

- Hi, how are you?
- Text med asap

Imagine that someone close to you or an acquaintance has just sent a text message saying "Hi, how are you?" while you are driving. You will arrive at your destination in 3 minutes. Please rate how likely you are to wait the 3 minutes to respond. *

- 5

0% (definitely reply now)

3

4

1 2

0

100% (definitely wait)

9

Imagine that someone close to you or an acquaintance has just sent a text message saying "Text me asap" while you are driving. You will arrive at your destination in 3 minutes. Please rate how likely you are to wait the 3 minutes to respond. *

2 3 0 4 5 6 7 g 0% (definitely reply now)

100% (definitely wait)

10

Master`s thesis

Delay Discounting Call Task (DDCT)

How probability of CUWD changes as a function of delay until destination

Participants rated likelihood of responding across 6 delays:

- 30 sec
- 3 min
- 15 min
- 30 min
- 1 hour
- 2 hours

4 conditions:

- Alone known caller
- Alone unknown caller
- Passengers known caller
- Passengers unknown caller

Imagine that someone close to you or an acquaintance is calling you while you are driving. You have no passengers, no handsfree but you will arrive at your destination in 30 minutes. Please rate how likely you are to reply the call. *

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

0% (definitely not replying) 100% (definitely replying)

Imagine that you receive an incoming call from a unknown number while you are driving. You have no passengers, no handsfree but you will arrive at your destination in 30 minutes. Please rate how likely you are to reply the call. *

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0% (definitely not replying) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

100% (definitely replying)

Imagine that someone close to you or an acquaintance is calling you while you are driving. You have passenger(s), no handsfree but you will arrive at your destination in 30 minutes. Please rate how likely you are to reply the call. *

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

0% (definitely not replying)

not replying)

100% (definitely replying)

Imagine that you receive an incoming call from a unknown number while you are driving. You have passenger(s), no handsfree but you will arrive at your destination in 30 minutes. Please rate how likely you are to reply the call. *

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 0% (definitely net contrine)

100% (definitely replying)

Self-perceived cause of CUWD

- I get satisfied
- I can not resist
- I am cellphone dependent
- I only use it to control the music
- Boredom
- Must have something to do
- I am using the phone if I receive a message or an incoming call
- If I get a message I usually only read it and then answer it later
- I never use the phone while driving

11

Results

189 participants

- 108 (57%) females
- 81 (43) males
- Mean age 29,3 years
- 96% (182/189) reported they always wear a seatbelt while driving.
 - 94% (178/189) as a passenger





- On the 7-point scale 52 (27.5%) of the participants reported they never text while driving.
- Based on the self-reported numbers of days initiating, reading, and replying to a text only 33 (17.5%) of the participants reported no TWD during the last 30 days.
- In other words, 156 (82.5%) of the drivers reported that they had been either initiating, reading or replying to a text message while driving more than 1 day during the last 30 days

Delay Discounting Text Task

How probability of CUWD changes as a function of delay until destination



Delay Discounting Call Task

The figure shows how probability of not replying to phone calls decreases as a function of delay until destination

• Male 18-23: average 73% probability of responding to a known caller when driving alone.

Self-percieved cause of CUWD and risk associated with different behaviors

Cause of CUWD	Women	Men
I satisfy my need	1	4
I can not resist	7	6
I am cellphone dependent	0	2
I am using the phone to play music	24	1
Boredom	2	6
Must have something to do	3	0
Using the phone if I receive a message or an	21	36
incoming call		
If I get a message while driving, I usually	29	19
read it immediately and respond later		
I never use the cellphone while driving	10	1
Other	11	6
Total	108	81

Distracted driving

Discussion

Cellphones are making a huge impact in our everyday life. The dependency of our phone in safer environments (home, on the bus, metro, work, etc) follows us even in situations associated with high risk. Example: people risking their lifes trying to take the perfect selfie

Because we are not getting pulled over (ticket) every time we are using our phone while driving, our behavior (using the phone) is more likely be upheld, even increased because it is reinforcing.

To reduce undesired behavior (CUWD), the value of the cellphone as a reinforcer has to be reduced.

When it comes to the environment outside the car (roads, turns, traffic signs etc) it is about making it <u>harder</u> for the driver to do <u>wrong</u>.

But, when it comes to what happens inside the car (choice of speed, cellphone use, alcohol, drugs etc) it is about making it <u>easier</u> to do <u>right</u>.

What does that mean?

Activites other than using the phone (= the driving itself) has to overcome the phone/food/drink in *value*.

= not using the phone has to have a higher <u>value</u> than using it

We need to supplement rules, regulations and sanctions with something else, to change peoples (drivers) behavior. In this case, to prevent them from using ther phone while driving.

Ć Ap

FIA SmartDriving Challenge

FIA SDC HEAT **JOIN EVENT**

Amanda Grabmüller

Andreas Linde.

FOCUS ON THE THINGS

We believe working hard for something you really want to achieve should be an awesome experience, not a painful one. Hold champions the relentless spirit to do what it takes to achieve your

22

If you sign up for 2020 now, you'll automatically get access to the remaining heats of season 2019. It would give a great opportunity to warm up and get familiar with the competition, as well as enough time to enjoy the challenge and test your smart driving skills.

References

- Atchley, P., & Warden, A. C. (2012). The need of young adults to text now: Using delay discounting to assess informational choice. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 1(4), 229-234. doi:10.1016/j.jarmac.2012.09.001
- Hayashi, Y., Russo, C. T., & Wirth, O. (2015). Texting while driving as impulsive choice: A behavioral economic analysis. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 83, 182-189. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2015.07.025
- Madden, G. J., & Bickel, W. K. (2010). Impulsivity: The behavioral and neurological science of discounting. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2012). Blueprint for ending distracted driving.
- Pierce, W. D. & Cheney, C. D. (2013). Behavior analysis and learning. (5th ed.) Philadelphia, PA: Psychology Press.
- Sæterlien, E. J. (2018). Cellphone Use While Driving (Unpublished master`s thesis). Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway. https://oda-hioa.archive.knowledgearc.net/bitstream/handle/10642/6112/saeterlien_malks2018.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y

Thank you!

Questions? eskilseterlien@gmail.com